#### 108TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

# S. 1460

To amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to preserve the effectiveness of medically important antibiotics used in the treatment of human and animal diseases.

### IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

July 25 (legislative day, July 21), 2003

Mr. Kennedy (for himself, Ms. Snowe, Mr. Reed, Mr. Bingaman, and Mr. Inouye) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions

## A BILL

- To amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to preserve the effectiveness of medically important antibiotics used in the treatment of human and animal diseases.
  - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
  - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
  - 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.
  - 4 (a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the
  - 5 "Preservation of Antibiotics for Medical Treatment Act of
  - 6 2003".
  - 7 (b) Table of Contents.—The table of contents of
  - 8 this Act is as follows:

- Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
- Sec. 2. Findings.
- Sec. 3. Purpose.

#### TITLE I—SAFETY OF CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL DRUGS

Sec. 101. Proof of safety of critical antimicrobial animal drugs.

# TITLE II—USE OF CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL DRUGS IN AGRICULTURE

- Sec. 201. Assistance to defray expenses of livestock or poultry producers in phasing out nontherapeutic use of critical antimicrobial animal drugs.
- Sec. 202. Research and demonstration programs.
- Sec. 203. Collection of data on critical antimicrobial animal drugs.

#### 1 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

- 2 Congress finds that—
- 3 (1)(A) in January 2001, a Federal interagency
- 4 task force released an action plan to address the
- 5 continuing decline in effectiveness of antibiotics
- 6 against common bacterial infections, referred to as
- 7 antibiotic resistance;
- 8 (B) the task force determined that antibiotic re-
- 9 sistance is a growing menace to all people and poses
- a serious threat to public health; and
- 11 (C) the task force cautioned that if current
- trends continue, treatments for common infections
- will become increasingly limited and expensive, and,
- in some cases, nonexistent;
- 15 (2) antibiotic resistance, resulting in a reduced
- number of effective antibiotics, may significantly im-
- pair the ability of the United States to respond to

1	terrorist attacks involving bacterial infections or a
2	large influx of hospitalized patients;
3	(3)(A) any overuse or misuse of antibiotics con-
4	tributes to the spread of antibiotic resistance, wheth-
5	er in human medicine or in agriculture; and
6	(B) recognizing the public health threat caused
7	by antibiotic resistance, Congress took several steps
8	to curb antibiotic overuse in human medicine
9	through amendments to the Public Health Service
10	Act (42 U.S.C. 201 et seq.) made by section 102 of
11	the Public Health Threats and Emergencies Act
12	(114 Stat. 2315), but has not yet addressed anti-
13	biotic overuse in agriculture;
14	(4) in a March 2003 report, the National Acad-
15	emy of Sciences stated that—
16	(A) a decrease in antimicrobial use in
17	human medicine alone will have little effect on
18	the current situation; and
19	(B) substantial efforts must be made to
20	decrease inappropriate overuse in animals and
21	agriculture;
22	(5)(A) an estimated 70 percent of the anti-
23	biotics and other antimicrobial used in the United
24	States are fed to farm animals for nontherapeutic
25	purposes, including—

1	(i) growth promotion; and
2	(ii) compensation for crowded, unsanitary,
3	and stressful farming and transportation condi-
4	tions; and
5	(B) unlike human use of antibiotics, these non-
6	therapeutic uses in animals typically do not require
7	a prescription;
8	(6)(A) many scientific studies confirm that the
9	nontherapeutic use of antibiotics in agricultural ani-
10	mals contributes to the development of antibiotic-re-
11	sistant bacterial infections in people;
12	(B) the periodical entitled "Clinical Infectious
13	Diseases" published a report in June 2002, based on
14	a 2-year review by experts in human and veterinary
15	medicine, public health, microbiology, biostatistics
16	and risk analysis, of more than 500 scientific studies
17	on the human health impacts of antimicrobial use in
18	agriculture; and
19	(C) the report recommended that antimicrobial
20	agents should no longer be used in agriculture in the
21	absence of disease, but should be limited to therapy
22	for diseased individual animals and prophylaxis
23	when disease is documented in a herd or flock;

1	(7)(A) the United States Geological Survey re-
2	ported in March 2002 that antibiotics were present
3	in 48 percent of the streams tested nationwide; and
4	(B) almost half of the tested streams were
5	downstream from agricultural operations;
6	(8) an April 1999 study by the General Ac-
7	counting Office concluded that resistant strains of 3
8	microorganisms that cause food-borne illness or dis-
9	ease in humans—Salmonella, Campylobacter, and E.
10	coli—are linked to the use of antibiotics in animals;
11	(9)(A) in January 2003, Consumer Reports
12	published test results on poultry products bought in
13	grocery stores nationwide showing disturbingly high
14	levels of Campylobacter and Salmonella bacteria that
15	were resistant to antibiotics used to treat food-borne
16	illnesses; and
17	(B) further studies showed similar results in
18	other meat products;
19	(10) in October 2001, the New England Jour-
20	nal of Medicine published an editorial urging a ban
21	on nontherapeutic use of medically important anti-
22	biotics in animals;
23	(11)(A) in 1999, the European Union banned
24	the practice of feeding medically important anti-

biotics to animals other than for disease treatment

25

- or control, and prior to that, individual European countries had banned the use of specific antibiotics in animal feed; and
  - (B) those countries have experienced no significant impact on animal health or productivity, food safety, or meat prices, and more importantly, levels of resistant bacteria have declined sharply;
  - (12) in 1998, the National Academy of Sciences noted that antibiotic-resistant bacteria generate a minimum of \$4,000,000,000 to \$5,000,000,000 in costs to United States society and individuals yearly;
  - (13) a year later, the National Academy of Sciences estimated that eliminating the use of all antibiotics as feed additives would cost each American consumer less than \$5 to \$10 per year;
  - (14) the American Medical Association, the American Public Health Association, the National Association of County and City Health Officials, and the National Campaign for Sustainable Agriculture, are among the more than 300 organizations representing health, consumer, agricultural, environmental, humane, and other interests that support enactment of legislation to phase out nontherapeutic use in farm animals of medically important antibiotics:

1	(15) the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act
2	(21 U.S.C. 301 et seq.)—
3	(A) requires that all drugs be shown to be
4	safe before the drugs are approved; and
5	(B) places the burden on manufacturers to
6	account for health consequences and prove safe-
7	ty;
8	(16)(A) the Food and Drug Administration re-
9	cently modified the drug approval process for anti-
10	biotics to recognize the development of resistant bac-
11	teria as an important aspect of safety;
12	(B) however, most antibiotics currently used in
13	animal production systems for nontherapeutic pur-
14	poses were approved before the Food and Drug Ad-
15	ministration began giving in-depth consideration to
16	resistance during the drug-approval process; and
17	(C) the Food and Drug Administration has not
18	established a schedule for reviewing those existing
19	approvals;
20	(17)(A) the Food and Drug Administration has
21	begun a process of evaluating the safety of anti-
22	biotics used in animal agriculture; and
23	(B) that process—
24	(i) is a valuable contribution to public
25	health; and

1	(ii) may determine that there is a rea-
2	sonable certainty of no harm from the use
3	of certain antibiotics in animal agriculture;
4	and
5	(18) certain nonroutine uses of antibiotics in
6	animal agriculture to prevent animal disease are le-
7	gitimate.
8	SEC. 3. PURPOSE.
9	The purpose of this Act is to preserve the effective-
10	ness of medically important antibiotics used in the treat-
11	ment of human and animal diseases by phasing out use
12	of certain antibiotics for nontherapeutic purposes in food-
13	producing animals.
14	TITLE I—SAFETY OF CRITICAL
	TITLE I—SAFETY OF CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL DRUGS
15	ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL DRUGS
15 16 17	ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL DRUGS SEC. 101. PROOF OF SAFETY OF CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL
15 16 17	ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL DRUGS  SEC. 101. PROOF OF SAFETY OF CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL  ANIMAL DRUGS.  (a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 201 of the Federal Food,
15 16 17 18	ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL DRUGS  SEC. 101. PROOF OF SAFETY OF CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL  ANIMAL DRUGS.  (a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 201 of the Federal Food,  Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 321) is amended by
15 16 17 18 19	ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL DRUGS  SEC. 101. PROOF OF SAFETY OF CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL  ANIMAL DRUGS.  (a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 201 of the Federal Food,  Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 321) is amended by
115 116 117 118 119 220	ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL DRUGS  SEC. 101. PROOF OF SAFETY OF CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL  ANIMAL DRUGS.  (a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 201 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 321) is amended by adding at the end the following:
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL DRUGS  SEC. 101. PROOF OF SAFETY OF CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL  ANIMAL DRUGS.  (a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 201 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 321) is amended by adding at the end the following:  "(nn) Critical Antimicrobial Animal Drug.— The term 'critical antimicrobial animal drug' means a
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL DRUGS  SEC. 101. PROOF OF SAFETY OF CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL  ANIMAL DRUGS.  (a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 201 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 321) is amended by adding at the end the following:  "(nn) Critical Antimicrobial Animal Drug.— The term 'critical antimicrobial animal drug' means a

1	"(2) is composed wholly or partly of—
2	"(A) any kind of penicillin, tetracycline,
3	bacitracin, macrolide, lincomycin,
4	streptogramin, aminoglycoside, sulfonamide; or
5	"(B) any other drug or derivative of a
6	drug that is used in humans or intended for use
7	in humans to treat or prevent disease or infec-
8	tion caused by microorganisms.
9	"(00) NONTHERAPEUTIC USE.—The term 'nonthera-
10	peutic use', with respect to a critical antimicrobial animal
11	drug, means any use of the drug as a feed or water addi-
12	tive for an animal in the absence of any clinical sign of
13	disease in the animal for growth promotion, feed effi-
14	ciency, weight gain, routine disease prevention, or other
15	routine purpose.".
16	(b) Nontherapeutic Use.—Section 512(d)(1) of
17	the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C.
18	360b(d)(1)) is amended—
19	(1) in the first sentence—
20	(A) in subparagraph (H), by striking "or"
21	at the end;
22	(B) by redesignating subparagraph (I) as
23	subparagraph (J); and
24	(C) by inserting after subparagraph (H)
25	the following:

1	"(I) with respect to a critical antimicrobial
2	animal drug or a drug of the same chemical
3	class as a critical antimicrobial animal drug,
4	the applicant has failed to demonstrate that
5	there is a reasonable certainty of no harm to
6	human health due to the development of anti-
7	microbial resistance that is attributable, in
8	whole or in part, to the nontherapeutic use of
9	the drug; or'; and
10	(2) in the second sentence, by striking "(A)
11	through (I)" and inserting "(A) through (J)".
12	(c) Phased Elimination of Nontherapeutic
13	USE IN ANIMALS OF CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL
14	DRUGS IMPORTANT FOR HUMAN HEALTH.—Section 512
15	of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C.
16	360b) is amended by adding at the end the following:
17	"(q) Phased Elimination of Nontherapeutic
18	USE IN ANIMALS OF CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL
19	Drugs Important for Human Health.—
20	"(1) Applicability.—This subsection applies
21	to the nontherapeutic use in a food-producing ani-
22	mal of—
23	"(A)(i) a drug that is a critical anti-
24	microbial animal drug; or

1	"(ii) a drug that is of the same chemical
2	class as a critical antimicrobial animal drug;
3	and
4	"(B) a drug—
5	"(i) for which, as of the day before
6	the date of enactment of this subsection,
7	there was in effect an approval of an appli-
8	cation filed under subsection (b) or (j) of
9	section 505; or
10	"(ii) that was otherwise marketed for
11	use.
12	"(2) WITHDRAWAL.—The Secretary shall with-
13	draw the approval of a nontherapeutic use in food-
14	producing animals described in paragraph (1) on the
15	date that is 2 years after the date of enactment of
16	this subsection unless—
17	"(A) before the date that is 2 years after
18	that date of enactment, the Secretary makes a
19	written determination that the holder of the ap-
20	proved application has demonstrated that there
21	is a reasonable certainty of no harm to human
22	health due to the development of antimicrobial
23	resistance that is attributable in whole or in
24	part to the nontherapeutic use of the drug; or

"(B) before the date specified in subparagraph (A), the Secretary makes a final written determination under this subsection, with respect to a risk analysis of the drug conducted by the Secretary and other relevant information, that there is a reasonable certainty of no harm to human health due to the development of antimicrobial resistance that is attributable in whole or in part to the nontherapeutic use of the drug.

"(3) EXEMPTIONS.—Except as provided in paragraph (5), if the Secretary grants an exemption under section 505(i) for a drug that is a critical antimicrobial animal drug, the Secretary shall rescind each approval of a nontherapeutic use in a food-producing animal of the critical antimicrobial animal drug, or of a drug in the same chemical class as the critical antimicrobial animal drug, as of the date that is 2 years after the date on which the Secretary grants the exemption.

"(4) APPROVALS.—If an application for a drug that is critical antimicrobial animal drug is submitted to the Secretary under section 505(b), the Secretary shall rescind each approval of a nontherapeutic use in a food-producing animal of the critical antimicrobial animal drug, or of a drug in the same chemical class as the critical antimicrobial animal drug, as of the date that is 2 years after the date on which the application is submitted to the Secretary.

"(5) EXCEPTION.—Paragraph (3) or (4), as the case may be, shall not apply if, before the date on which approval would be rescinded under that subparagraph, the Secretary determines that the holder of the approved application has demonstrated that there is a reasonable certainty of no harm to human health due to the development of antimicrobial resistance that is attributable, in whole or in part, to the nontherapeutic use in the food-producing animal of the critical antimicrobial animal drug.".

### 16 TITLE II—USE OF CRITICAL

### 17 ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL

### 18 DRUGS IN AGRICULTURE

- 19 SEC. 201. ASSISTANCE TO DEFRAY EXPENSES OF LIVE-
- 20 STOCK OR POULTRY PRODUCERS IN PHAS-
- 21 ING OUT NONTHERAPEUTIC USE OF CRIT-
- 22 ICAL ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL DRUGS.
- 23 (a) Definitions.—In this section, the terms "crit-
- 24 ical antimicrobial animal drug" and "nontherapeutic use"

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 1 have the meanings given the terms in section 201 of the
- 2 Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 321).
- 3 (b) Payments.—The Secretary of Agriculture may
- 4 make payments to producers of livestock or poultry that
- 5 the Secretary determines are substantially reducing, or
- 6 have substantially reduced, the nontherapeutic use of crit-
- 7 ical antimicrobial animal drugs in livestock or poultry in
- 8 order to defray the costs of such reduction.
- 9 (c) Priority for Family Farmers and Small
- 10 Farms.—In awarding payments under subsection (b), the
- 11 Secretary of Agriculture shall give priority to family-
- 12 owned and family-operated farms or ranches and to small
- 13 farms or ranches, as determined by the Secretary.
- 14 (d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There
- 15 are authorized to be appropriated such sums as are nec-
- 16 essary to carry out this section for fiscal year 2004 and
- 17 for each subsequent fiscal year.
- 18 SEC. 202. RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION PROGRAMS.
- 19 Subtitle D of title VII of the Farm Security and
- 20 Rural Investment Act of 2002 (116 Stat. 455) is amended
- 21 by adding at the end the following:
- 22 "SEC. 7413. PHASING OUT OF NONTHERAPEUTIC USE OF
- 23 CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL DRUGS.
- 24 "(a) Definitions.—In this section, the terms 'crit-
- 25 ical antimicrobial animal drug' and 'nontherapeutic use'

- 1 have the meanings given the terms in section 201 of the
- 2 Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 321).
- 3 "(b) Grants.—The Secretary, in consultation with
- 4 the Secretary of Health and Human Services, shall award
- 5 grants to colleges and universities to establish research
- 6 and demonstration programs for—
- 7 "(1) phasing out the nontherapeutic use of crit-
- 8 ical antimicrobial animal drugs in livestock or poul-
- 9 try; and
- 10 "(2) informing livestock and poultry producers
- of methods for accomplishing the objective described
- in paragraph (1).
- 13 "(c) Education.—The Secretary shall use the re-
- 14 sults of the research and demonstration programs and the
- 15 experience of agricultural producers that have reduced or
- 16 eliminated the nontherapeutic use of critical antimicrobial
- 17 animal drugs to educate other agricultural producers,
- 18 through the Cooperative Research, Education, and Exten-
- 19 sion Service, concerning how to successfully phase out
- 20 such use in livestock or poultry.
- 21 "(d) Authorization of Appropriations.—There
- 22 are authorized to be appropriated such sums as are nec-
- 23 essary to carry out this section for fiscal years 2004
- 24 through 2007.".

1	SEC. 203. COLLECTION OF DATA ON CRITICAL ANTI-
2	MICROBIAL ANIMAL DRUGS.
3	(a) In General.—Chapter V of the Federal Food,
4	Drug, and Cosmetic Act is amended by inserting after sec-
5	tion 512 (21 U.S.C. 360b) the following:
6	"SEC. 512A. COLLECTION OF DATA ON CRITICAL ANTI-
7	MICROBIAL ANIMAL DRUGS.
8	"(a) In General.—Not later than July 1 of each
9	year, a manufacturer of a critical antimicrobial animal
10	drug or an animal feed for food-producing animals bearing
11	or containing a critical antimicrobial animal drug shall
12	submit to the Secretary a report, in such form as the Sec-
13	retary shall require, containing information on the sales
14	during the previous calendar year of the critical anti-
15	microbial animal drug or animal feed.
16	"(b) Information To Be Included.—A report
17	under subsection (a) shall—
18	"(1) state separately the quantity of the critical
19	antimicrobial animal drug, including in animal feed
20	bearing or containing the critical antimicrobial ani-
21	mal drug, sold for each kind of food-producing ani-
22	mal;
23	"(2) describe the claimed purpose of use for
24	each kind of food-producing animal as being for
25	growth promotion, weight gain, feed efficiency, dis-

1	ease prevention, disease control, disease treatment,
2	or another purpose; and
3	"(3) describe the dosage form of the drug.
4	"(c) Publication.—
5	"(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall—
6	"(A) make the information submitted
7	under subsection (a) available to the public; and
8	"(B) publish the information at least an-
9	nually.
10	"(2) Protection of confidentiality.—The
11	Secretary shall aggregate information, if necessary,
12	to avoid disclosure under paragraph (1) of confiden-
13	tial business information.".
14	(b) Prohibited Acts.—Section 301(e) of the Fed-
15	eral Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 331(e))
16	is amended by striking "515(f)" and inserting "512A,
17	515(f),".
18	(c) Effective Date.—The amendments made by
19	this section take effect on January 1, 2005.

 $\bigcirc$